Employment on Compassionate (SroundS in Public Sector Udertakings

880. SHRI, KALPNATH RAI: WiU the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleas ed to state:

(s^ whether provisions exist in public sector undertakings to provide employment on compassionate grounds to members of family of a Government servant dying in harness; -

(b) whether there are any public sector undertakings which do not follow the above policy; and

(c) if so, what are the names of such undertakings and what are the reasons for their not following the policy laid down by Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ENTERPRISES IN THE MINISTRY OF I1SDUSTRY (PROF. K. K. TEWARY): (a) No, Sir. -

(to) and (c) Do not arise.

Collaboration with Pepsico

881. PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA WM the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) Whether it is a fact that in the earlier, proposal of M/s. Agro Products Exports India Private Limited with the multinational Pepsico, the. technical know-how fees was only Rs. 14 lacs and it was rejected by Government and in case 'of Punjab Agro proposal, the fee have jumped to Rs. 1 crore and W so, what are the reasons assigned for the large, increase; and

(b>. what was the technical know-how fees 'paid: by Coc'a Cola when they were in Bidia, and what is Pepsi going to do which is more technologically advanced to what Coca Cola was doing or what was proposed with Agro Products Exports India limited which was rejected?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT, OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRKM. ARU-NACHALAM): (a) No, Sir. In the pro-ppsal of M/s. Agro Products Exports India Private Limited, the amount of Rs. 14 lacs was the estimated annual payment of, dividends on the equity participation of M/s. Pepsico Incorporated, USA. In the Punjab Agro Industries Corporation's proposal, the lumpsum payment of Rs. I crore is for technical services for the entire-project including of all operations such as fruit and vegetable processing, potato and grain processing tc.

(b) The Coca Cola Export Corpora-. tion, which was operating in India, wasa who owned branch-of Coca Cola of USA. There were transfer'of profits and other forms of remittances - between the Indian branch and the parent company. The manufacturing programme of Coca Cola Export Corporation or M/s, Agro Products Exports India. Private Limited are not comparable; with those of the Punjab Agro Industries Corporation as the latter covers several activities such as an Agro Research Centre, potato and grain processing unit, fruit and vegetable processing unit as well 'as soft drink concentrate unit.

Sick Industrial Units

882. SHR~I SHANKER SINH VA-GHELA. - Will the Minister of INDUS-" TRY be pleased to state;

(a) how many industrial uni# are reported to be sick all over the coun- -try, State-wise;

(b) how many of them are, small, medium and large scale industries, State-wise;

(c) the total amount of flnaoce relating to banks whch is involved in those sick units State-wise; and

(d)the total amount of other state and, Central Public' financial Institutions involved in these sick units, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRI-AL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M, ARU-NACHALAM); (a) to (c) Data on sick, industrial units assisted by banks in the country are collected by the R& serve Bank of India as per the definition of sickness adopted by it. ' The latest data' as available from the RBI for the period ending December 1985 are given in the attached statement. (See Appendix CXLII, Annexure No. 55].

(d) The details regarding the amounts of other State Governments and Central Public "Financial Institutions involved in sick units are not centrally maintained in this Ministry.

Industrial Houses out of MRTP control

883. SBRIMATI KANAK MUKHER-JEE: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state the particulars of the indus \ ial houses which will not be under 'MRTP control as per Government's latest industrial policy statement*

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRI-. • AL DEVEt OPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARU-NACHAUVM): It is presumed that the Honble Member is referring 'to the 1985 amendment to the MRTP Act raising the threshold limit for registration under the MRTP Act from rupees twenty crores to rupees one hundired crores with effect' 'from 29th May, 1985. A statement is annexed (Set! below) showing the names of industrial houses whose undertakings have got out of the purview of the MRTP. Act during the period 29th May, 1985 to 31st March, 1987 due to increase in the assets limit from rupees twenty crores to rupees one hundred «rores.

Statement

Names of industrial houses whose undertakings have got out of the purview of the MRTP Act during the period 29-5-1985 *to* 31-3-198 *due to increase in the assets limit from rupee twenty crores to rupees one hundred crores.*

SI. No:	Name of Industrial House
1	Allana
2	Amar Dye Chem
3	Amrit Banaspati.
4	Andhra Sugar
5	B. D. Kanoiia
6	B. D. Somani
7.	Best &Crompton
8	Bharat Forge
9	Bharat Vijay Mills
10	Bhilwara
11	Bird*
12	Central Pulp
13	Colour Chem
14	Davy Ashmore
15	Dharamsi Morarji -
16	Enfield
17	Gajra Gears
18	Gammon India
19	Ghia
20	Gillaners Atbuthnot
' 21	Gujarat Steel Tubes
22	Hindustan Dorr Oliver
23	Industrial Cables
24	Jaiswal
25	J. V. Patel '
26	Jyoti*
27	K. G. Khosla
28	Kanoria Jute
29	Kothari
30	Madras Cement
31	Mahindra Mills -
32	Maneklal Harilal
33	Mcleod Russel
34	Nagarjuna Steels
35	NOhat Shipping
36	Oswal Woollen Mills
37	Pfizer
38	Piramal
39	Prataplal Bhogilal
40	R. N. Goenka
/ 41	Reliance Jute

Some undertakings belonging to these houses have not yet been deregistered as they nave not applied for deregistration.